

The Effects of Increased Pressure on the Reaction Kinetics of Biomass Pyrolysis and Combustion

> **Charles Churchman, P.E. Stephanie England, E.I.T. International Applied Engineering, Inc. Marietta, Georgia 30062 (770) 977-4248 Cgc@iaeinc.com TAPPI ConferenceOctober 15, 2009**



### **Overview**

- $\bullet$  **Purpose: Determine Effects of Increased Pressure on the reaction rates of Biomass Pyrolysis & Combustion**
- $\bullet$  **Objective: Reduce the fixed capital costs for biofuels plants by increasing their operating pressures**
- $\bullet$ **Advantages/Disadvantages of Increased Pressure Effects**
- $\bullet$ **Combustion Reaction Kinetics vs. Pyrolysis Reaction Kinetics**
- $\bullet$  **Unusual Biomass Characteristics which affect the study of pressure on combustion and pyrolysis kinetics**
- $\bullet$  **The potential reduction in equipment sizes with currently known information**
- $\bullet$ **Conclusions**



### **Definitions**

- $\bullet$  *Combustion* – the reaction of oxygen with other materials, usually organic, with the evolution of light and heat, and the production of carbon dioxide and water.
- $\bullet$  *Pyrolysis* – the decomposition of organic materials due to heating in the absence of oxygen
- $\bullet$  *Kinetics* – the thermodynamic field which studies the rate of chemical reactions
- $\bullet$  *Aspect ratio* – surface area to volume ratio of a particle undergoing combustion or pyrolysis
- $\bullet$  *Char*- the 89-90% carbon structure left after evolution of lignins and other volatiles during pyrolysis; a series of ruptured and broken cellular tubes made up of the wood grain
- $\bullet$  *Half life* – time it takes for ½ of a biomass sample to be combusted or pyrolyzed.



### **Objective**



 $\boldsymbol{\varLambda}$ 

- $\bullet$  Commercial plants should be able to produce drop-in replacement biofuels in a cost range around \$ 60/bbl, assuming feedstock costs in the \$ 30-50/dry ton range
- $\bullet$  A common cost savings technique in the oil patch and chemical industries for gas-phase systems is to raise the pressure to reduce the size of vessels and piping.
	- Vessels and piping can run 30-40% of the fixed capital investment
	- Running at 10 x atmospheric pressure, at a straightforward ratio, the volumes required drop nearly by a factor of ten. ( But wait – there's more!)
- **Reduce Fixed Capital Costs by at least 30%**



 $\bullet$  $\bullet$  High pressure  $\rightarrow$  Equipment volume reduction



# Increased Reaction Rates also Reduce Reactor Sizes

- $\bullet$  Reactor sizing is a function of reaction rate, residence time, and approach to equilibrium. Pyrolysis reactions do not reach equilibrium quickly in the gas phase.
- $\bullet$  At the same pressure, a faster reaction rate requires less residence time, and thus a smaller vessel.
- $\bullet$  Thus, a faster reaction rate resulting from increased pressure has two multiplying factors to further reduce equipment sizes:
	- Higher pressure by volume reduction
	- Lower residence times by faster reaction rates
- The combination reduces the volume by MORE than the pressure ratio.



### Another Pressurization Advantage

7





### Hydrogen impact on compression costs

- $\bullet$  Biomass synfuels contain lots of hydrogen, anywhere from 15-50%.
- Hydrogen compressors are particularly expensive, due to the low density of hydrogen
- $\bullet$  Hydrogen leakage from rotating equipment with seals can be a problem, and hydrogen flames are invisible in daylight
- $\bullet$  The parasitic electrical load for compression is very high; it can run 10% of the produced electrical load.

# **IAB** Other Pressurization Advantages

- $\bullet$  Smaller equipment sizes mean smaller surface areas for thermal heat losses
- $\bullet$  Lower thermal heat losses yield higher internal reaction temperatures
- $\bullet$ Higher reaction temperatures favor lower tar formation levels.
- $\bullet$  Easier gas cleanup via larger allowable pressure drops in cyclones, filters and scrubbers
- $\bullet$ Lower tar formation at higher pressures
- $\bullet$  More effect latent heat recovery from water condensed from syngas

# **UAE** Pressurization Disadvantages

- Four main categories:
	- Mechanical Problems with equipment at elevated pressure
	- Less-than-linear reactivity increase due to pressure
	- Increased emissions
	- Possible increased methane concentrations in the syngas

# (IAE) Feed System Challenges

- Pyrolysis needs to remove the void space air between the biomass particles – backflush with nitrogen or steam needed.
- $\bullet$  Nitrogen expensive, but steam has its problems:
	- Terpenes in biomass flash off with pressurized steam
	- Increased volatile losses w/increasing pressure
	- **Environmental impacts for VOCs**



- $\bullet$  Pressure sealing
	- $\bullet$ High Maintenance
	- $\bullet$ **Expensive**



# (IAE)

### Status of pyrolysis kinetics studies

- $\bullet$  Not very much work has been done on the effects of pressure on pyrolysis kinetics
- $\bullet$  Much more has been done on the effect of pressure on combustion kinetics
- $\bullet$  A review of the effect of pressure on combustion kinetics can help understand what the potential impact is on pyrolysis kinetics
- $\bullet$  More research and work on pyrolysis kinetics is warranted, and some of it will be starting soon.

# **UAE** New tools to study problem

- $\bullet$  New Dimensionless numbers
	- Old tools included Reynolds number, Froude number,Prandlt number, Schmidt number

• New tools include numbers which provide dimensionless correlations of the relative effects of heat transfer and reaction kinetics

• Some of these are: Prater Number, Biot Number, Weisz Modulus, Thiele Modulus, and Effectiveness Factor

•Newest CFD software combines particle gas phase fluid mechanics with reaction kinetics

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Biomass Characteristics

#### $\bullet$ Anisotropic

• Affects combustion, pyrolysis and modeling parameters

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Wood Chip Undergoing Combustion

Side View of chip

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

16

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Fireside Chat

- $\bullet$  This winter watch a fire in a fireplace for an education in combustion and pyrolysis kinetics.
- $\bullet$  The orange flames in the fireplace are closer to pyrolysis temperatures than combustion temperatures. The cracking and popping are vapors exploding out of tubules.
- $\bullet$  Watch a wood chip with an open end - when heated - releases a long yellow flame of tar-laden, yellow flame.
- $\bullet$  Or get an oxy-acetylene torch, and just turn on the acetylene, then slowly turn on the oxygen.
- $\bullet$  The smoky yellow flame will turn bright white, then get blue translucent as the incandescent carbon combusts.

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_40.jpeg)

Rate Limiting

From: Porteira et al, Combustion of Large Particles of Densified From: Porteira et al, Combustion of Large Particles of Densified<br>Wood, Energy & Fuels, Vol 21,No. 6, 2007, pg. 3157

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Pressure Effects on Combustion

 $\bullet$ IAE's empirical observations:

![](_page_18_Picture_83.jpeg)

- $\bullet$ Results are expected
- $\bullet$ Higher temperature indicates faster reaction rate

$$
\dot{Q} = kT^4 \qquad r \propto P_{O_2}^{0.5}
$$

![](_page_19_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Pressure Effects on NOx formation rates

![](_page_19_Figure_2.jpeg)

High pressure combustion may dramatically increase NOx formation

20

# (IAE) Pressure effect on combustion

 $\bullet$  Published results indicate that the pressure effect on combustion kinetics is somewhat less than linear, and in some cases is close to the square root of the pressure:

$$
R = k(P_{O2})^{0.53-0.78}
$$

• Where:

 $\bullet$ 

- $\bullet$  R = reaction rate
- $\bullet$  K = constant
- $\bullet$  P<sub>O2</sub>= partial pressure of oxygen

### **(IAE)** 1992 Gravel Bed Combustion Study

 $\mathsf{m}_{\text{wood}}^{} = 0.95 \; \mathsf{A}_{\text{bed}}^{} \mathsf{P}^{0.72} \; \mathsf{T}^{1.03} \; \text{(air/fuel)}^{\text{-}0.81} \text{(100-%moisture)}^{\text{-}0.46}$ 

Where the units were:

M<sub>wood</sub> = kg/hr A<sub>bed</sub> = square meters  $P = \text{atms}$ T = degrees Kelvin  $Air = kg/hr$  $Fuel = kg/hr$ Moisture = as-received basis

22"Development of a gravel bed combustor for a solid fueled gas turbine", Ragland, et al, U of Wisconsin ME Dept., June 1992, DOE Contract No. DE-GF02- 85E40735

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Pyrolysis kinetics versus combustion kinetics

- $\bullet$  Pyrolysis occurs at much lower temperatures than combustion
	- Half Life of wood chips is much longer than in combustion, fractions of a second instead of milliseconds
	- Thermal driving force is lower, since the temperatures are lower
- $\bullet$ • More time to "distill" off the tars
- $\bullet$  Gas phase reactions slower, less cracking of tars, and reactions do not reach equilibrium
- $\bullet$ No free oxygen to accelerate char oxidation

# **CAB** Pyrolysis versus combustion

- $\bullet$ Reaction rates are slower
- $\bullet$ More tars are formed in pyrolysis
- $\bullet$ More carbon remains in the char in pyrolysis
- $\bullet$  Pyrolysis equipment needs to be larger for the same throughput.
- $\bullet$ NO<sub>x</sub> emissions lower, since there is no free oxygen

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **Low Pressure Pyrolysis Char High Pressure Pyrolysis Char**

![](_page_25_Picture_4.jpeg)

High pore surface area(SA) Smaller Particle

Low pore SA: Gas diffusion Larger particle: Conduction

High pressure pyrolysis  $\Rightarrow$  slower char reactivity

26

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Potential Equipment Volume Reduction Possible

Reactor: Assume operating pressure of 300 psia, versus 1 atm.

Volume reduction due to pressure change 95%

Assume reaction rate increase is  $20^{0.6}$  = 6 x more!

Total volume change  $20 \times 6 = 120$ 

 $1/120 = 0.8\%$ . Volume of the reactor  $\leq 1\%$  that at 1 atm.

Piping : diameter reduction due to volume decrease~  $(300/15)$ <sup>0.5</sup>= 4.5; assume pipe dia. req. ¼ the 1 atm. Case

Footprint Reduction: Unfortunately, as yet…………to be determined

![](_page_27_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Pyrolysis Kinetics

- $\bullet$  Not well understood area
	- $\bullet$ Equilibrium unknown as a function of time; product gases not at equilibrium
	- $\bullet$ Prediction of product gas composition and reactor sizing needs development
- $\bullet$  Ongoing research on pressurized pyrolysis: Georgia Tech – pyrolysis research in operational 80 atm reactor funded by DOE( NREL).

#### Combustion Kinetics

- $\bullet$  Some good data available, understanding of the full implications still have a fundamental problem with the measurement and prediction of kinetic rate equations at high pressure and temperature
- $NO<sub>x</sub>$  formation rates at high pressure problematic.

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

### **Conclusions**

#### **Pressurization Advantages:**

- $\bullet$  Eliminates downstream pressurization capital and operating costs(compressors)
- $\bullet$  Huge Capital cost reduction with equipment size reduction due to pressure and faster kinetics

#### $\bullet$  Latent Heat recovery at higher temperatures

**Disadvantages:**

- Pressurized feed system
- $\bullet$ Decreased char reactivity
- Not well understood effect on reaction rate
- Expensive pressure sealing, particularly with respect to hydrogen.

Conclusion: Benefits of pressurization still outweigh negative impacts; further research is still desperately needed